

**DEFINITIONS OF AUDIT OPINIONS**

<b>Opinion</b>	<b>Framework</b>	<b>Effectiveness(Implementation)</b>
<b>Excellent</b>	... innovative frameworks are in place, which demonstrate efficiencies and excellent value for money, whilst ensuring the achievement of service objectives, good corporate governance and high level of protection for the council against foreseeable risks.	... there is full compliance with the framework of controls and the risk management process is considered to be fully effective. There is evidence of notable practice and no areas of concern were identified.
Minimum requirement	All controls are in place	All controls are fully implemented
<b>Good</b>	... a high level of control framework is in place to ensure the achievement of service objectives, good corporate governance and to protect the Council against foreseeable risks.	... the framework of controls is substantially being complied with and risk management process is considered to be good. Only minor errors or omissions identified
Minimum requirement	All controls are in place	51% or above of risks examined are low and the remainder are medium. Limited room for further development
<b>Satisfactory</b>	... controls exist to enable the achievement of service objectives, obtain good corporate governance and mitigate against significant foreseeable risks.	... occasional instances of failure to comply with the control process were identified and opportunities still exist to mitigate further against potential risks.
Minimum requirement	Control requirements are substantially met	Up to 50% of risks examined are medium or low. Opportunities for further developments exists requiring constructive proposals for management consideration
<b>Un-satisfactory</b>	... limited controls are in place but there are gaps in the process, which leave the service exposed to foreseeable risks. Hence further development in framework is needed to make the system effective.	... there is an urgent need to introduce additional controls and improve compliance with existing controls, to reduce the risk exposure to the Council.

Minimum requirement	Control requirements are patchy and unreliable	Testing results identified one or more high risk
<b>Un-acceptable</b>	... controls are considered to be inadequate or non-existent with the absence of at least one critical control mechanism. An urgent need exists to introduce appropriate level of controls without delay.	... failure to urgently improve controls leaves the Council exposed to significant risk, which could lead to major financial loss, embarrassment, or failure to achieve key service objectives.  Note: compliance testing in this circumstance may not add value. However, there would be some value in conducting weakness testing in some circumstances to determine the level of “threat” or “loss” to the Council. Hence an opinion for compliance may not be given where the framework is “unacceptable”
Minimum requirement	No evidence of controls exist	Testing results identified one or more very high risk